

John P. White

Cooper & Dunham LLP

1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/768,951 01/29/2004 Nalam Madhusudhana Rao 71914/JPW/AG 7180 7590 06/13/2006

EXAMINER

SAIDHA, TEKCHAND

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

1652

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/768,951	RAO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tekchand Saidha	1652
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M	arch 2005	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/768,951 Page 2

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claim 52 been renumbered 51. (The other claim number 51 in the middle of claim 50 is deleted). Please make a note of it.

2. Claims 1-51 are present in the application.

3. Sequence Rules

The instant specification on pages 21-24 (for example), present nucleic acid sequences that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2), but fails to comply with the requirements. According to 37 CFR 1.821-825, every disclosed amino acid sequence of four or more residues or 10 or more nucleotides must be identified by a SEQ ID NO. The nucleic acid sequences presented do not have SEQ ID Nos. In order to comply with the sequence rules Applicants must identify these sequences by providing SEQ ID NO:, and where required provide a new version of the sequence listing and disk. Applicant must submit a CRF copy and paper copy of the Sequence Listing, a statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and where applicable include no new matter as required by 37

Application/Control Number: 10/768,951

Art Unit: 1652

C.F.R. j 1.821(e) or 1.821(9 or 1.821(g) or 1 .825(d), as well as an amendment directing its entry into the specification.

Page 3

Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors or other missing sequences of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

- 4. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in India on 1.30.2003. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the priority application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).
- 5. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-17 & 31-34, drawn to lipase variants (not lipase gene variant, because the sequences of SEQ ID Nos. 2-6 amino acid sequences and are therefore lipase variants <u>not</u> 'lipase gene variants as claimed'; claim amendment is suggested), classified in class 435, subclass 198.
 - II. Claims 18-30 & 35-51, drawn to expression vector or host cell **comprising DNA** encoding lipase variants (is the suggested language for these claims), classified in class 435, subclass 252.3.
- 6. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The expression system (or expression vector or host cell comprising DNA encoding lipase variants, as suggested) of Invention II is related to the protein of Invention I by virtue of encoding same. The DNA molecule has utility for the recombinant production of the protein in a host cell, as recited in the Claims of Invention II. Although the DNA molecule and protein are related since the DNA encodes the specifically claimed protein, they are distinct inventions because the protein product can be made by another and materially different process,

Art Unit: 1652

such as by synthetic peptide synthesis or purification from the natural source. Further, the DNA may be used for processes other than the production of the protein, such as nucleic acid hybridization assay.

- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement MUST include an election of the invention to be examined, even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 10. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Art Unit: 1652

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tekchand Saidha whose telephone number is (571) 272 0940. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30 am - 5.00 pm.

examiner by telephone Ιf attempts to reach the unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on (571) 272 0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tekchand Saidha

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652

Recombinant Enzymes, E03A61 Remsen Bld.

400 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone: (571) 272-0940

June 5, 2006